

Austyn Roseborough^{1,2,3}, Ryan Gotesman^{1,2,3}, Joel Ramirez^{1,2,3}, Alicia A. McNeely^{1,2,3}, Christopher J.M. Scott^{1,2,3}, Alan Moody^{1,2,3}, Sandra E. Black¹⁻⁵

¹ LC Campbell Cognitive Neurology Research Unit, Sunnybrook Heath Sciences Centre, Toronto, Canada, ² Heart & Stroke Foundation Centre for Stroke Recovery, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Canada, ³ Brain Sciences Research Program, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Canada, ⁴ Institute of Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada ⁵ Toronto Dementia Research Alliance, Toronto, Canada.

BACKGROUND

- Lacunes are CSF-filled cavities that range between 3-15mm in diameter [1]
- Lacunes appear hypointense on T1 weighted MRI [1]
- There is no standard method of quantifying lacunar burden
- Volumes and counts may be inaccurate measurements of lacunar burden independently
- It remains unclear how large vessel disease may effect end-organ functioning
- The association of carotid stenosis with small vessel disease requires investigation. [2,3]
- High levels of stenosis may result in SVD and tissue damage due to hypoperfusion or microembolism mechanisms.[4]

PURPOSE & HYPOTHESIS

Purpose: To develop a method of measuring lacunar burden incorporating both lacunar counts and volumes and evaluating this method in patients with carotid stenosis.

Hypothesis: The metric will be a more accurate representation of trends in lacunar burden than considering counts and volume individually. A higher lacunar burden may occur in those participants with stenosis or other cerebrovascular risk factors.

METHODS

- 1. A modified version of Lesion Explorer (LE) [5] was used to automatically segment cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) intensity regions within the WM and subcortical grey matter (GM) using T2-weighted MRI.
- 2. The number of CSF intense regions were counted, with the Basal ganglia SABRE [6] regions excluded to avoid inclusion of probably VR spaces.
- 3. Counts were divided into small and large categories of lacunes <3mm and >3mm in diameter based on a spherical assumption
- 4. Participants were categorized as having bilateral stenosis if they had both left and right ratings of >50% stenosis

Measure of Lacunar Counts and Volumes In Participants with Bilateral Stenosis from the Canadian Atherosclerosis Imaging Network (CAIN)

SUBJECTS

All subjects were taken from the Canadian Atherosclerotic Imaging Network study and underwent brain and carotid MRI imaging. See demographic table for more information

IMAGING

Figure 1: Axial T1 weighted MRI displaying variability in size of periventricular black hole counts



Figure 2: Axial T1 weighted MRI displaying inaccurate inclusion of Virchow-Robins spaces



Table 1. Demographic and raw volume data for participants with and without stenosis

Demograp Age Sex, n(%) Volumet BPF Volume Volume Volume Volume_v Lacune

Table 2. MANCOVA comparing lacunar counts and volumes for subjects with and without bilateral stenosis

Volv pvBH Volu pvBH Volu dBH Volu dBH volu **Total Volu Total Volu** Total \ Weightee Cou Count Count Total Weighte

ANALYSIS

• Non-parametric data were log-transformed

 MANCOVAs were performed to analyze the effects of stenosis, age and gender on counts and volumes of lacunar burden

• Counts were divided into large and small categories as well as periventricular and deep-white black holes Counts and volumes were weighted more heavily on those lesions >3mm in diameter

RESULTS

Bilateral Stenosis				
Yes (n=40)	No (n=53)	р		
74.50 (8.99)	74.23 (9.01)	n.s.		
24 (60)	30 (56.6)	n.s.		
1258.70 (113.28)	1225.22 (113.64)	n.s.		
78.69 (4.74)	78.37 (3.71)	n.s.		
557.33 (44.72)	544.00 (49.99)	n.s.		
423.97 (62.30)	411.01 (55.65)	n.s.		
231.34 (55.17)	227.94 (44.10)	n.s.		
37.45 (17.52)	37.24 (16.90)	n.s.		
270.55 (571.33)	139.98 (299.83)	n.s.		
	Yes (n=40) 74.50 (8.99) 24 (60) 1258.70 (113.28) 78.69 (4.74) 557.33 (44.72) 423.97 (62.30) 231.34 (55.17) 37.45 (17.52)	Yes (n=40)No (n=53)74.50 (8.99)74.23 (9.01)24 (60)30 (56.6)1258.70 (113.28)1225.22 (113.64)78.69 (4.74)78.37 (3.71)557.33 (44.72)544.00 (49.99)423.97 (62.30)411.01 (55.65)231.34 (55.17)227.94 (44.10)37.45 (17.52)37.24 (16.90)		

Bilateral Stenosis				
	Yes (n=40)	No (n=53)	р	
umes				
ume <3mm	51.46 (73.91)	55.61 (64.87)	n.s.	
ume >3mm	174.84 (484.29)	69.34 (169.47)	n.s.	
ume <3mm	16.20 (70.27)	3.25 (6.18)	n.s.	
ıme >3mm	17.12 (76.68)	1.98 (6.48)	n.s.	
ume <3mm	67.66 (114.50)	58.86 (66.97)	n.s.	
ume >3mm	191.97 (496.16)	71.33 (169.55)	n.s.	
Volume	259.63 (592.26)	130.18 (224.28)	n.s.	
d Volume	225.80 (543.32)	100.76 (195.97)	n.s.	
unts				
t <3mm	34.28 (48.12)	31.17 (34.32)	n.s.	
t >3mm	1.87 (4.05)	1.55 (2.76)	n.s.	
Count	36.15 (51.30)	32.72 (36.69)	n.s.	
ed Count	19.01 (27.30)	17.13 (19.56)	n.s.	

- ullet
- spaces.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the D+H SRI Summer Studentship Award, Canadian Institute of Health Research (MT#13129), Alzheimer Society of Canada, Alzheimer's Association (USA), The L. C. Campbell Foundation and The Heart and Stroke Foundation Centre for Stroke Recovery

- Artery Stenosis. Stroke. 36:567-577
- 963-973

For more information or to download a copy of this poster, please visit *brainlab.ca/posters* or scan this QR code



DISCUSSION

 This study suggests that accounting for both lacunar counts and volumes may give a more representative picture of lacunar burden than considering the two individually.

There was not a significant relationship between lacunar burden and bilateral stenosis in this sample.

Neither periventricular nor deep white lacunes greater than or less than 3mm were significantly different in either population.

Limitations including the assumption of lacunes as spherical and the possible inclusion of VR

 Further refinement of stenosis quantification would allow for more accurate categorization. Further study should involve optimizing the use of the size cut-off and complete VR

segmentation to ensure their removal.

The protocol could also be extended to

generate counts for VR spaces in order to study the mechanisms of VR counts separately from lacunar counts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

Wardlaw. J (2008) What is a Lacune? Stroke. 39:2921-2922

Enzinger C, Ropele S, Gattringer T, Langkammer C, Schmidt R, Fazekas F. (2010) High-Grade Internal Carotid Artery Stenosis and Chronic Brain Damage: A Volumetric Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Cerebrovascular Disease. 30:540-546

Kandiah N, Goh O, Mak E, Marmin M, Ng A. (2012)Carotid Stenosis: A Risk Factor for Cerebral White-Matter Disease. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 23:136-139 Momjian-mayor I, Baron JC. (2004) The pathophysiology of Watershed Infarction in Internal Carotid

Ramirez J, Gibson E, Quddus A, Lobaugh NJ, Feinstein A, Levine B, Scott CJ, Levy-Cooperman N, Gao FQ, Black SE (2011) Lesion Explorer: A comprehensive segmentation and parcellation package to obtain regional volumetrics for subcortical hyperintensities and intracranial tissue. Neuroimage 54:

Dade LA, Gao FQ, Kovacevic N, Roy P, Rockel C, O'Toole CM, Lobaugh NJ, Feinstein A, Levine B, Black SE (2004) Semiautomatic brain region extraction: a method of parcellating brain regions from structural magnetic resonance images. Neuroimage 22: 1492-1502

